

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/965,897	Applicant(s) KAMVYSSELIS, PETER	
	Examiner Kristie Shingles	Art Unit 2141	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Response to Non-Final, Amended

 (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Atty. Donald Muirhead, Reg. No. 33,978.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005
Time: 5:17pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

63, 71, 80, 81, 86, 94 and 103

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney clarified for the Examiner, where the amended limitation ("...wherein packets of data associated with the same sequence number are sent to the destination in an order that is independent of an order in which the packets are obtained.") of the independent claims is supported in the specification; in Figures 8 and 13, pages 20 and 28 .